Quantification with ‘all’ in Seri*

Stephen A. Marlett

Words meaning ‘all’ in Seri include a verb, a pronoun (which often cooccurs with a coreferential NP), and an NP modifier. This paper explores the syntax of the constructions in which these words occur. It also explores the scope relationship between these words and negation, which seems to vary depending on a non-surface syntactic relation.

1. Introduction
The notion of ‘all’ is expressed by two related words and three structures in Seri:

a. the verb -cōoo ‘be whole, entire; be all’

b. the pronouns coox, cooxo ‘all’ (especially felicitous with plural NPs), which sometimes directly follow a coreferential noun phrase

c. the DP-internal modifier coox ‘all’ (especially felicitous with certain singular NPs).

The first and second constructions are the most common; the third is somehow more restricted. Using the terminology introduced in Partee et al. 1987, the quantifying expressions -cōox and cooxo are D-quantifiers, since each of them “is, or forms a constituent with, a projection of N” (Bittner 1995:59). The verb -cōoo is not obviously an A-quantifier, however, since an A-quantifier “forms a constituent with some projection of V” (loc.cit.) — this quantifier is the verb. And none of these constructions is exactly analogous to the constructions by which they are translated in languages like English and Spanish.

The first two constructions may be used in a paraphrase relationship, as shown by the following sentences (which parallel examples observed in texts):

(1) a. Haxaca coi po-cōoo siilx_caha.
   DepIrr-all dogs the they.being.all they.will.go
   All of the dogs will go.

b. Haxaca coi cooxo siilx_caha.
   dogs the all they.will.go
   All of the dogs will go.

* The text examples cited here come from texts collected by Edward and Mary Moser in the 1950’s. Other examples come from sentences provided for the dictionary that is in preparation, and from recently elicited examples.
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In this paper I explore each of these constructions, including the scope relationship between the quantifier and negation.3

2. The verb –cooo ‘whole, entire, all’

The verb -cooo, which means ‘be whole, entire; be all’, is a very common expression in the language.4 The verb -cooo typically occurs in adverbial clauses, either the dependent irrealis form (po-cóoo) or the dependent realis form (t-cooo) and is apparently always non-negative,5 and the nominal that it quantifies is apparently always linked to the subject or direct object of the following clause. The use of different subject marking (overt, ta and ma for the two forms, respectively), or its absence, provide the clue as to whether the quantification is of the “first” subject of the following clause or not.6 Examples below illustrate these verb forms. It will be shown that they are also inflected for person of the subject (which is null for third person).

I.1. Predicating a single item to indicate entirety or wholeness

The verb -cooo may predicate a singular noun and thereby indicate the entirety of the item — the sense of ‘whole’ — as illustrated by the following examples.7 Example (2) has four clauses: three adverbial (dependent irrealis) clauses with po- followed by one main clause (independent irrealis) with si-.

(2) Hap com po-cóoo-ta impacááix heme mpoonjcta DepIrr-all-DS
deer the it.being.whole you.carrying.it camp you.bringing.it
 cmaax ihsímxaha.
then I.will.butcher.it
If you carry the whole deer to camp, I will cut it into pieces.

(3) Zíxcám quíh xo-cóoo. Emphatic-all
fish the it.is.whole
The fish is whole!

(4) Táhójóc quíj t-cooo-x cazíimih toc cómii. DepRl-all-?
Tiburon.Island the it.being.whole it.is.pretty there it.is
All of Tiburon Island is beautiful. (LB 321)

---

3 Gil 1995 claims that the quantifier “all and its counterparts in other languages constitute the basic or simple universal quantifier” (p. 321), and that the quantifier ‘every’ in English is more unusual. These claims fit with the Seri facts, as I show below; there is no word that corresponds to ‘every’.

4 Other quantifiers — including the numbers, ‘many’, and ‘few’— are also verbs. They inflect for person, tense/aspect, number, etc. Unlike the verb ‘be all’, however, they commonly occur as the main verb of a sentence, and they may be negated easily. This is similar to the situation in the related Yuman family (which includes Maricopa), as discussed in Gil 1995:357.

5 Attempts to negate these verbs were met with odd expressions by my consultants.

6 Marlett 1984a, Marlett 1984b, and Farrell, Marlett and Perlmutter 1991 discuss details of the notion of subject with respect to the switch reference system of Seri. A technical notion called “first subject” is proposed, which is distinct from other notions of subject that more commonly figure in descriptions of language.

7 Haspelmath 1995, based on the evidence from Indo-European languages, claims that the development of the meaning ‘all’ for words that meant ‘whole’ is common, but that the reverse is not attested.
Examples (5-6) show the use of the verb in relative clauses. These examples are much less common, although this is the typical way to form relative clauses with predicates (using nominalized forms of the verb).

(5) **Icózím quih c-cooo tintica iti cōmiipca.**
    
    SubjNom-all summer the that.was.all the on it.rained
    It rained all (the entire) summer.

(6) **Ihámoc quih c-cooo tintica iti cohpxím.**
    
    night the that.was.all the on I.slept
    I slept all night (the whole night) last night.

1.2. **Predicating a plural noun, to indicate the complete group**

The verb -cooo may also predicate a plural noun and indicate the complete group — the sense of ‘all’. Some examples with “same subject”, since the quantified NP is the “first” subject of the higher clause, include the following:8

(7) **… yectz tahac iti cooi coi t-cooo tojocam …**
    
    Yaquis there at who.were the(pl.) they.being.all they.fleeing
    … all the Yaquis who were there fled, … (Hands 39.7)

(8) **… t-cooo hapx toi …**
    
    they.being.all outside they.being
    … they all jumped out, … (Hands 72.2)

(9) **Ox_tpectama comcáac iti cōhixáx quih t-cooo tahac iti toi …**
    
    then Seris every.last.one the they.being.all there on they.being
    Then all of the Seris were there, … (Hands 164.1)

(10) **Moxíma taasaj cazíim xah hyexl xo t-cooo immázlilca.**
    
    yesterday cups pretty *9 I.bought.them but they.being.all they.cracked
    Yesterday I bought some pretty cups, but they all cracked.

(11) **Ziix_cōquitalháatim cap mos_ano iitom quih ipacáixajta**
    
    traveling.salesman the more.so his.voice the he.strengthening.it
    comcáac quih po-cóoo silícam_caha.
    Seris the they.being.all they.will.arrive
    If the traveling salesman speaks loudly, everyone will go to him.

(12) **Haxaca coi tootar coi t-cooo iyóiitoj.**
    
    dogs the chickens the they.being.all they.ate.them
    All of the dogs ate the chickens.

The following examples have “different subject” on the ‘all’ verb since the verb is predicating the underlying direct object of the higher clause. (The higher clause may be passive, as is (14); this is irrelevant.)

8 See note 6.

9 The word xah means “and” in some contexts, but it also occurs in many examples without that meaning.
The verb may have a pronominal subject. Examples with third person are shown above, but the subject may be first or second person (plural) as well, as the following examples illustrate:

(19) **Taax ha-po-cóoo-x cóhasmiiplaha.**
    1plSubj-DepIrr-all-?
    that we.being.all we.will.be.bad.with.respect.to.it
*That would be bad for all of us.* (Mlore literally, *All of us will be bad off because of it.*)

(20) **Me ma-po-cóoo míj masóoctama.**
    2plSubj-DepIrr-all
    you you.being.all well you.should.look.at.it
*All of you should pay attention …*

---

10 The lack of different subject marking on this verb indicates that the three clauses in this example are not on the same level of structure, since the subject of the verb *tezi* (“win”) is different from the subject of *tcooo* (“be all”); the verb *tcooo* is irrelevant to the marking of different subject on *tezi*, which looks forward to the *hacx itamiihtaj* (“kill”) clause.
3. The pronoun **coox** ‘all’

A second expression for ‘all’ is **coox** (or its variant **cooxo**)\(^{11}\), which occurs in two positions: inside the noun phrase (as a quantifier) and outside of the noun phrase (as a pronoun). The pronominal usage is by far the most common, and I discuss it first below.

1.4. Standing alone as a pronoun

The word **coox** may stand alone (and mean ‘all’) or be used immediately after a DP — especially a plural one — apparently in the style of a pronoun. First, I present examples where the word **coox** occurs without an overt adjacent DP. In these examples, it is either a subject or a direct object.

(22) **Coox** hant cótoiima …
    all down they.coming.from.it
    They all came down from it (sky)… (Sun 25.1)

(23) (He) **cooxo** mazi_hyooho.
    1Pro all 2plDirObject-1sSubj-Distal-see (< mazi-h-yo-aho)
    I saw all of you.

(24) **Coox** itáxi  itácatx  yoque.
    all he.finishing.it he.leaving.it.behind it.is.said
    He finished it all, (and) he left it. (Sun 54.1)

(25) **Cooxo** ipácta  ocóa …
    all how.it.was she.knew.it
    She knew all about it (that had happened), … (Hands 95.1) (Perhaps more literally, She knew what it was all like…)

(26) **Enm_an_icáaspoj** **coox** ihtcmáaho  xo quipxa  xah  hmiya.
    typewriter all I.not.knowing.it but what.is.little * I.know.it
    I don’t know everything about a typewriter, but I know a little.

1.5. With a singular DP, signifying entirety

The pronoun **coox** may also follow a singular DP — after the phrase-final determiner — to indicate the entirety of something.

(27) … hant_hayaa com **coox** ipaai  ipooxi …
    farm the all he.doing.it he.finishing.it
    … if he finishes doing the whole farm, … (Sun 51.3)

(28) **Itaai** hant_hayaa com **coox** itaxi  yoque.
    he.doing.it farm the all he.finishing.it it.is.said
    He completed (doing) the whole farm. (Sun 53.1)

---

\(^{11}\) I do not know how these two forms are distributed.
(29) **Xepe_an ihéel com haxoj quih itél tintica coox cöipahóaantax**
red.tide the.shore its.edge all it.making.it.murky
**zixcám zo hascmáhtaha tax xaa hasílxaha.**
fish a we.will.not.see.it and.so soon we.will.go
*If a red tide makes all the seashore murky, we aren’t going to see fish and we will go.*

(30) **Xaasj com is quih coox maactoj.**
sahueso.cactus its.fruit all it.shriveled.up
*All of the sahueso cactus fruit dried up.*

1.6. **With a plural DP or a pronoun**

The pronoun **coox** commonly follows an explicitly plural noun phrase—again, following the phrase-final determiner\(^{12}\)—with which it is associated pragmatically.\(^{13}\)

(31) **Hitáast hizcoi coox immúpla.**
my.teeth these all they.are.bad
*All of my teeth are bad. (Baskets 48.1)*

(32) … **zíicalc tamocat coox hant cótoii yoque.**
birds these all land they.coming.to.it it.is.said
… all of these birds came down to the ground. (Sun 24.11, modified by X.M.)

(33) **me cooxo**
you all
*all of you*

(34) **Moosni hant_cöhahéectim hizcoi coox icózim isíicotimaha**
turtles that.are.being.transported these all heat it.will.kill.them
**toc cöyaxalca.**
there their.being
*The heat is going to kill all of these sea turtles that are being transported.*\(^{14}\)

(35) … **inloj iizjoj xaha itóaatj iizjoj xaha isxápcój quih**
their.hands their.front and their.feet their.fronts and top.of.their.heads the
**taax cooxo tahtáxolimma …**
those(pronoun) all they.being.scraped
… *the palms of their hands, the soles of their feet, and the top of their heads were all scraped,… (Hermanos 34.3, modified by X. M.)*\(^{15}\)

---

\(^{12}\) Seri is like Ancient Greek in that a determiner phrase may often have more than one determiner in it; the medial determiner is most typically **quih** although the final one is more commonly one of the positional articles. See Marlett and Moser 1994 and Moser 1978.

\(^{13}\) This pronominal quantifier may also be modified.

**Xica_cazíil himcoi hax coox xah imoquépt cöihj ichi.**
children those just all and their.sickness they.are.with
*Almost all of those children are sick.*

\(^{14}\) This example has a preposed direct object.

\(^{15}\) In this sentence, the quantifier actually follows a demonstrative pronoun that itself is coreferent with the noun phrase.
(36) **Haxaca coi cooxo haxöl coi iyóiitoj.**
    dogs the all clams the they.ate.them
    *All the dogs ate the clams.*

(37) **Haxaca coi haxöl coi cooxo iyóiitoj.**
    dogs the clams the all they.ate.them
    *The dogs ate all the clams.*

I believe these are correctly analyzed structurally as a noun phrase (or DP, to be more exact) followed by a pronoun. In fact, the quantifier may occur after a deictic coreferent pronoun, as shown in (35). Under this analysis, something like the structure in (38) would be something like what is proposed for (35), although it is not even clear that the three DPs form a constituent at all.

(38)
```
   DP
  /   \
 DP   DP   DP
    |     |    \
   Pro  Pro
```

The question arises, of course, whether the quantifier should be analyzed as a pronoun, as I have claimed above — where there is a DP followed by a coreferent pronoun — or whether these examples are in fact instances of Quantifier Phrases which are head-final (rather than head-initial, as in English), as expected by the general head-final orientation of the language. The structure shown in (39) represents the latter alternative.

(39)
```
   QP
  /   \
 DP   Q
     \   
 NP   D
```

I don’t know that I have persuasive evidence to decide this matter. One fact might be the existence of structures such as that discussed in the following section, in which the quantifier clearly occurs inside of the DP.

4. **The DP-internal modifier coox ‘all’**

There are examples in Seri in which the quantifier **coox** occurs inside the DP, before the phrase-final determiner. In such examples it might be analyzed as the head of a quantifier phrase which is the complement of the determiner, as shown in (40).

16 Another example with the pronoun **taax**, without a quantifier, is found in (55).
The quantifier *coox*, like the verb -*cooo*, may modify a singular noun and indicate the entirety of the item. Sometimes the phrase has a meaning that seems best translated with the word ‘every’ in English. The following examples from texts primarily — all readily confirmed as very good examples — are not easily expanded on through elicitation in new contexts, although the construction is clearly productive.

(41) **ihisax** quih **coox** com  
    his/her.spirit the all the  
    (with) all his/her heart, i.e. (earnestly)\(^17\)

(42) **hant** quih **coox** com  
    land all the  
    all the world, everywhere

(43) **Ziix quih quiisax** **coox** cah **siifp_caha**.  
    thing who.is.alive all the/Focus s/he.will.arrive  
    Everyone will arrive.

(44) **hant com iti cöihíih** **coox** cah  
    land on his/her.going all the/Focus  
    always

(45) **zaah quih** **coox** cah  
    day all the/Focus  
    everyday

(46) **Pedro quij izáxó** **coox** cah  
    the his.saying all the/Focus  
    everythign that Pedro said

(47) **Haaho quih iti hapx_caap** **coox** cah **pti iicx popáquim ...**  
    road on that.defecates all the/Focus together near he/they.being.put  
    All those that defecate on the road will be gathered together ...  
    [Pinacate 13.1]

(48) **... hant hapáxquim** **coox** cah **zee quih yoháa.**  
    land what.is.put all the/Focus filler.material the it.is  
    ... everything that remains on the ground is filler material (for coils).  
    (Baskets 17.5)

(49) **ziix quih oactim** **coox** cah  
    thing that he does all the/Focus  
    everything that he does

\(^{17}\) The plural expression *with all of their hearts* is: **iistox quih coox com**.
Quantification with ‘all’ in Seri

(50) **Ihámoc quih coox cah ziix_ccah quih cazíim quih cohmquécol.**
    night the all the/Focus music the that.is.pretty the I.listen.to.it
    *Every night I listen to pretty music.*

(51) **Haxz quih coox cah quiipaha.**
    dog the all the/Focus it.has.tail
    *Dogs (as a class) have tails. (Every dog has a tail.)*

Some similar expressions with plural noun phrases were also readily judged grammatical, although those with singular noun phrases are much more common.

(52) **xica quih quiistox quih coox cah**
    things the who.have.life the all the/Focus everyone

(53) **ixáap quih coox cah**
    days the all the everyday

5. Scope

There are characteristics of scope of negation in Seri that are not well-understood. For example, example (54), the negative morpheme on the higher verb (‘be seen’) has scope over the adverbial clause ‘abundant’: Fish were seen, but they were not abundant.

(54) **Zixcám zo po-xtám-ta t-om-p-áho-ho.**
    DepIrr-abundant-DS DepRl-Neg-Pass-see-Decl
    fish a it.being.abundant it.was.not.seen
    *Not many fish were seen. DF 27*

This structure is paralleled by some of the examples with negative on the higher verb and a quantifer (verbal or pronominal) that is linked to the surface direct object (see (55-57)), surface subject of a passive verb (see (58)), or the surface subject of a presumably unaccusative verb (see (59-60)).

(55)     ¬ [ ∀ (x:girls) [ (y:soldiers) take’ (x) ] ]

    **Ox _tpactama xica_quizíil cmajíic coi taax mos itóonec —**
    then children females the those also they.carrying.them
    **t-cooo-ma imacálx[iha] — anxö itóonec yacálxiha.**
    they.being.all they.didn’t.take.them much¹⁸ they.carrying.them they.took.them
    [Then] they (soldiers) took the girls — they didn’t take all of them — they took a lot of them. (Hands 210.1-3) (° i-m-ac-álx, Nom-Neg-Caus-go)

(56) **Haxaca coi cooxo hyomáho. / Haxaca tanticat coox ihyomáho.**
    dogs the all I.didn’t.see.them (same)
    *I didn’t see all of the dogs (but I did see some).*

(57) **Haxaca coi t-cooo-ma hyomáho.**
    dogs the they.being.all I.didn’t.see.them
    *I didn’t see all of the dogs (but I did see some).*

¹⁸ The word **anxö** is an adverb which precedes and modifies a verb and typically means “much, a lot”.

---

18 The word **anxö** is an adverb which precedes and modifies a verb and typically means “much, a lot”.

---
(58) \( \forall (x: \text{gnatcatchers}) \ [ \neg (y: \text{Unspecified}) \ \text{call' (x)}) \]

\textit{Po-cóoo-ta coneepisíil impáhiha,}^{19}
they.being.all gnatcatchers they.weren’t.called
\textit{They weren’t all gnatcatchers (that were flying over the moon). [Some were; but there were other animals flying over the moon also]. (Moon 5.1) (< i-m-p-áh-iha,}
Nom-Neg-Pass-call-Decl)

(59) \textit{Canóaataj coi t-cooo imhéeliha.}
boats the they.being.all they.are.not.red
\textit{Not all of the boats are red (but some are).}

(60) \textit{Comcáac coi t-cooo immoquéptiha.}
Seri.people the they.being.all they.are.not.sick
\textit{Not all of the Seris are sick (but some are).}

Of course, it is well known that this is not an ad hoc collection of grammatical terms; the concept of underlying direct object (in Relational Grammar terms), figures in the grammars of many other languages.\textsuperscript{20}

When the quantifier is linked to an ergative or unergative subject, the sentences are ambiguous with respect to the scope relations between negation and the quantifier. Sentence (61) is from a text, and the meaning is clearly one where the quantifier has scope over the negative. The sentences in (62-63) show that these types of sentences do not necessarily have this kind of interpretation, but they \textit{may} have.

(61) \( \forall (x: \text{old-people}) \ [ \neg (\text{able-to-walk' (x)}) \]

\textit{Comcáac queeej hizi_quiinim coi t-cooo icálx itcmáaj …}
Seri.people old who.were.with.us the they.being.all to.go they.not.knowing
\textit{None of the old people who were with us could walk … (Tiburón Trip-X 36.1-2)}

(62) a. \textbf{Haxaca coi t-cooo yomálx.}
\textit{dogs the they.being.all they.didn’t.go}
\textit{None of the dogs went.}

b. \textbf{Haxaca coi t-cooo yomálx xo pac yoolx.}
\textit{dogs the they.being.all they.didn’t.go but some they.went}
\textit{Not all of the dogs went, but some went.}

(63) \textbf{Haxaca coi t-cooo tmalxiho.}
\textit{dogs the they.being.all they.didn’t.go}
\textit{None of the dogs went. Or Not all of the dogs went. (ambiguous reading)}

Of course, pointing out these apparent correlations between the syntactic structure and the kinds of interpretation that are obtained is not the same as providing an analysis that actually explains them. At this time, however, I do not have such an analysis.

\textsuperscript{19} An alternative form of this sentence, with the realis form \textit{t-cooo ma} at the beginning is also possible. The difference in meaning, if any, is very slight.

\textsuperscript{20} Of course, many other current syntactic frameworks propose something (either syntactic or semantic) that is meant to be equivalent.
6. Conclusion

The expressions for ‘all’ in Seri are unusual in that they are primarily either verbal or pronominal. The one structure which appears most similar to that of more well-known languages is one which is most restricted in usage.

While more work needs to be done to verify and explore the facts, there is apparently a difference in scope between the quantifier and the negative which is related to differences in the structure of the sentences involved. Negation has scope over the quantifier-linked NP that is an underlying direct object. Negation may or may not have scope over a quantifier-linked NP that is an underlying subject.
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